

在演化影响分析方面:Riebisch 主要以特征模型为桥梁建立需求与其他软件制品的跟踪关系,通过需求的变更分析演化对特征模型以及其他制品造成的影响^[11];Peng 等人分析了影响特征模型演化的因素,识别可能发生的模型变化和受影响的特征,并对模型变化进行价值分析和排序^[12].这些方法主要从需求工程的角度分析特征模型演化.

其他方面,Botterweck 等人归纳了特征模型演化的元操作集合 EvoOperators^[25];Hwan 等人提出多种特征模型的操作,例如删除节点、删除子树^[26];Thum 等人引入了重构、归纳(generalization)、特化(specialization)和随意性编辑(arbitrary edit)描述演化前后特征模型的变化^[37];Alves 等人基于特征模型提出了产品线重构模式^[38].还有许多研究人员采用 SAT(satisfiability solving),BDD(binary decision diagram)和 CSP(constraint satisfaction problem)对模型的有效性进行诊断^[15,16,39].Guo 等人提出在演化时通过设定策略修复不一致的特征模型配置^[10].

上述研究中,其中一部分以需求规约或者需求模型分析特征模型的演化.这些研究只是在需求层面上进行演化分析,通过特征模型表达共性和可变性的变化,并非是从特征模型本身的角度考虑演化.由于需求模型缺乏对特征和特征关联关系的描述,分析过程中可能忽略特征变更的“涟漪”效应,无法发现由此引发的共性和可变性变化.与这些工作不同的是,本文通过扩展特征关联关系实现了在特征模型基础上进行特征变更的“涟漪”效应分析,并通过共性提取和模型修剪发现更多的产品共性.此外,本文提出的方法还考虑了模型配置冲突的发现和消解.与上述研究中的模型诊断的研究相比,本文仅从发生配置约束变化的特征出发检测配置冲突.与 Guo 等人的研究类似,本文同样假设输入的特征模型不存在任何异常,配置冲突只可由模型演化操作引发,并不需要遍历整个特征模型进行检测.但是对于冲突消解,上述工作并未说明如何消解不同类型的配置冲突,Guo 等人的研究也仅描述如何修复删除特征造成的配置异常.本文则定义了详细的策略应对每一种配置冲突.

由于识别共性和可变性的变化是特征模型演化分析重要组成,因此我们在研究过程中也参考了共性和可变性分析的相关工作.分析相似特征时,采用 Guo 等人分析功能性需求的方法^[33],通过“格语法”对特征进行语义分解.在对可选特征进行共性分析时,我们总结了相关工作中分析产品共性的决策因素^[7,17,33,40],在这些因素基础上设计了规则辅助开发人员决策.

7 总 结

本文在分析当前特征模型演化分析的挑战和现有方法存在的问题基础上,提出了一种软件特征模型演化分析方法.该方法扩展了特征关联关系和模型演化元操作,通过这些扩展,支持在特征模型基础上分析和识别特征变更的“涟漪”效应引发的特征变更,发现和提取潜在的产品共性.同时,该方法还对演化过程中出现的配置冲突进行消解.为了验证该方法的可用性和有效性,实现了半自动化的分析工具,并选取了一个具有代表性的软件系统 Qone 进行案例分析.分析结果表明,我们所提出的方法可以用于实际的项目并辅助项目人员进行特征模型演化分析.

在研究过程中,我们也发现了该方法存在的不足,并在案例分析中收获了一些经验教训.这些都为我们指明了下一步的研究方向,包括:对特征变更进行聚类分析,提高方法的效率;对特征解析和重构进行价值分析;建立冲突消解策略选择方法;评价特征模型演化对软件开发的影响.此外,我们也将持续关注 Qone 项目,并计划采用更多的方式验证方法的有效性.同时,也希望在更多的软件系统和业务场景中进行应用,不断改进方法.

References:

- [1] Apel S, Kastner C. An overview of feature-oriented software development. *Journal of Object Technology*, 2009,8(4):1–36. [doi: 10.5381/jot.2009.8.5.c5]
- [2] Zhang W, Mei H. Feature-Oriented software reuse technology—State of the art. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 2014,59(1):21–42 (in Chinese with English abstract).
- [3] Kang K, Cohen S, Hess J, Novak W, Peterson A. Feature-Oriented domain analysis (FODA) feasibility study. Technical Report, CMU/SEI-90-TR-21, Software Institute, Carnegie Mellon University, 1990.

- [4] Czarnecki K, Helsen S, Eisenecker U. Staged configuration using feature models. In: Proc. of the 3rd Int'l Conf. on Software Product Lines. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004. 266–283. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-28630-1_17]
- [5] Yau SS, Collofello JS, MacGregor T. Ripple effect analysis of software maintenance. In: Proc. of the 2nd Int'l Conf. on Computer Software and Application. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 1978. 60–65. [doi: 10.1109/CMPSAC.1978.810308]
- [6] Zhang L, Qian GQ, Li L. Software stability analysis based on change impact simulation. Chinese Journal of Computers, 2010,33(3): 440–451 (in Chinese with English abstract). [doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1016.2010.00440]
- [7] Pohl K, Bockle G, Van Der Linden F. Software Product Line Engineering: Foundations, Principles, and Techniques. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005. [doi: 10.1007/3-540-28901-1]
- [8] Ardis M, Weiss DM. Defining families: The commonality analysis. In: Proc. of the 21st Int'l Conf. on Software Engineering. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 1999. 671–672. [doi: 10.1145/302405.302929]
- [9] Maben T, Lichter H. Deficiencies in feature models. In: Proc. of the Workshop on Software Variability Management for Product Derivation-Towards Tool Support. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [10] Guo JM, Wang YY, Trinidad P, Benavides D. Consistency maintenance for evolving feature models. Expert Systems with Applications, 2012,39(5):4987–4998. [doi: 10.1016/j.eswa.2011.10.014]
- [11] Riebisch M. Supporting evolutionary development by feature models and traceability links. In: Proc. of the 11th IEEE Int'l Conf. and Workshop on the Engineering of Computer-Based Systems. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 2004. 370–377. [doi: 10.1109/ECBS.2004.1316721]
- [12] Peng X, Yu YJ, Zhao WY. Analyzing evolution of variability in a software product line: From contexts and requirements to features. Journal of Information of Software Technology, 2011,53(7):707–721. [doi: 10.1016/j.infsof.2011.01.001]
- [13] Asadi M, Bagheri E, Mohabbati B, Gasevic D. Requirements engineering in feature oriented software product lines: An initial analytical study. In: Proc. of the 16th Int'l Conf. on Software Product Line. New York: ACM Press, 2012. 36–44. [doi: 10.1145/2364412.2364419]
- [14] Buhne S, Lauenroth K, Pohl K. Why is it not sufficient to model requirements variability with feature models. In: Proc. of the Workshop on Automotive Requirements Engineering. 2004. 5–12.
- [15] White J, Benavides D, Schmidt CD, Trinidad P, Dougherty B, Ruiz-Cortes A. Automated diagnosis of feature model configurations. Journal of Systems and Software, 2010,83(7):1094–1107. [doi: 10.1016/j.jss.2010.02.017]
- [16] Benavides D, Trinidad P, Ruiz-Cortes A. Automated reasoning on feature models. In: Proc. of the 17th Int'l Conf. on Advanced Information Systems Engineering. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005. 491–503. [doi: 10.1007/11431855_34]
- [17] Chen K, Zhang W, Zhao HY, Mei H. An approach to constructing feature models based on requirements clustering. In: Proc. of the 13th Int'l Conf. on Requirements Engineering. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 2005. 31–40. [doi: 10.1109/RE.2005.9]
- [18] Benavides D, Segura S, Ruiz-Cortes A. Automated analysis of feature models 20 years later: A literature review. Information Systems, 2010,35(6):615–636. [doi: 10.1016/j.is.2010.01.001]
- [19] Lee K, Kang KC. Feature dependency analysis for product line component design. In: Proc. of the 8th Int'l Conf. on Software Reuse. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004. 69–85. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-27799-6_7]
- [20] Zhang W, Mei H. Feature-Driven requirement dependency analysis and high-level software design. Requirements Engineering, 2006,3(11):205–220. [doi: 10.1007/s00766-006-0033-x]
- [21] Peng X, Zhao WY, Xue YJ, Wu YJ. Ontology-Based feature modeling and application-oriented tailoring. In: Proc. of the 9th Int'l Conf. on Software Reuse. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2006. 87–100. [doi: 10.1007/11763864_7]
- [22] Dahlstedt AG, Persson A. Requirements interdependencies: State of the art and future challenges. In: Proc. of the Engineering and Managing Software Requirements. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005. 95–116. [doi: 10.1007/3-540-28244-0_5]
- [23] Pohl K. Process-Centered Requirements Engineering. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1997.
- [24] Zhang H, Li J, Zhu LM, Jeffery R, Liu Y, Wang Q, Li MS. Investigating dependencies in software requirements for change propagation analysis. Journal of Information and Software Technology, 2014,56(1):40–53. [doi: 10.1016/j.infsof.2013.07.001]
- [25] Botterweck G, Pleuss A, Dhungana D, Polzer A, Kowalewski S. Evofm: Feature-Driven planning of product-line evolution. In: Proc. of the ICSE Workshop on Product Line Approaches in Software Engineering. New York: ACM Press, 2010. 24–31. [doi: 10.1145/1808937.1808941]

- [26] Hwan C, Kim P, Czarnecki K. Synchronizing cardinality-based feature models and their specializations. In: Proc. of the 1st European Conf. on Model Driven Architecture—Foundations and Applications. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2005. 331–348. [doi: 10.1007/11581741_24]
- [27] Lehnert S. A taxonomy for software change impact analysis. In: Proc. of the 12th Int'l Workshop on Principles of Software Evolution and the 7th Annual ERCIM Workshop on Software Evolution. New York: ACM Press, 2011. 41–50. [doi: 10.1145/2024445.2024454]
- [28] Li Y, Li J, Yang Y, Li MS. Requirement-Centric traceability for change impact analysis: A case study. In: Proc. of the Int'l Conf. on Software Process. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2008. 100–111. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-79588-9_10]
- [29] Lock S, Kotonya G. An integrated probabilistic framework for requirement change impact analysis. Australasian Journal of Information Systems, 2007,6(2):38–63.
- [30] Fu Y, Li MQ, Chen FZ. Impact propagation and risk assessment of requirement changes for software development projects based on design structure matrix. Int'l Journal of Project Management, 2012,30(3):363–373. [doi: 10.1016/j.ijproman.2011.08.004]
- [31] Fillmore CJ. The case for case. In: Universals in Linguistic Theory. Rinehart & Winston, 1968. http://www.researchgate.net/publication/230875990_The_Case_for_Case
- [32] Niu N, Easterbrook S. Extracting and modeling product line functional requirements. In: Proc. of the 16th Int'l Conf. on Requirements Engineering. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 2008. 155–164. [doi: 10.1109/RE.2008.49]
- [33] Guo JM, Wang YL, Zhang Z, Nummenmaa J, Niu N. Model driven approach to developing domain functional requirements in software product lines. IET Software, 2012,6(4):391–401. [doi: 10.1049/iet-sen.2010.0072]
- [34] Thum T, Kastner C, Benduhn F, Meinicke J, Saake G, Leich T. FeatureIDE: An extensible framework for feature-oriented software development. Experimental Software and Toolkits, 2014,79(1):70–85. [doi: 10.1016/j.scico.2012.06.002]
- [35] Massen T, Lichter H. RequiLine: A requirements engineering tool for software product lines. In: Proc. of the Workshop on Software Product-Family Engineering. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 2004. 168–180. [doi: 10.1007/978-3-540-24667-1_13]
- [36] Nie KM, Zhang L, Fan ZQ. Systematic literature review of software product line variability modeling techniques. Ruan Jian Xue Bao/Journal of Software, 2013,24(9):2001–2019 (in Chinese with English abstract). <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/4433.htm> [doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1001.2013.04433]
- [37] Thum T, Batory D, Kastner C. Reasoning about edits to feature models. In: Proc. of the 31st Int'l Conf. on Software Engineering. Los Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 2009. 254–264. [doi: 10.1109/ICSE.2009.5070526]
- [38] Alves V, Gheyi R, Massoni T, Kulesza U, Borba P, Lucena C. Refactoring product lines. In: Proc. of the 5th Int'l Conf. on Generative Programming and Component Engineering. New York: ACM Press, 2006. 201–210. [doi: 10.1145/1173706.1173737]
- [39] Czarnecki K, Wasowski A. Feature diagrams and logics: There and back again. In: Proc. of the 11th Int'l Conf. on Software Product Line. Alamitos: IEEE Computer Society, 2007. 23–34. [doi: 10.1109/SPLINE.2007.24]
- [40] Moon M, Yeom K, Chae HS. An approach to developing domain requirements as a core asset based on commonality and variability analysis in a product line. IEEE Trans. on Software Engineering, 2005,31(7):551–569. [doi: 10.1109/TSE.2005.76]

附中文参考文献:

- [2] 张伟,梅宏.面向特征的软件复用技术——发展与现状.科学通报,2014,59(1):21–42. [doi: 10.1360/972013-341]
- [6] 张莉,钱冠群,李琳.基于变更传播仿真的软件稳定性法分析.计算机学报,2010,33(3):440–451. [doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1016.2010.00440]
- [36] 聂坤明,张莉,樊志强.软件产品线可变性建模技术系统综述.软件学报,2013,24(9):2001–2019. <http://www.jos.org.cn/1000-9825/4433.htm> [doi: 10.3724/SP.J.1001.2013.04433]



胡洁(1982—),女,安徽芜湖人,博士,主要研究领域为软件定制化开发,软件需求演化.



王青(1964—),女,博士,研究员,博士生导师,CCF高级会员,主要研究领域为软件质量管理,过程建模,知识管理,需求管理,软件协同工作.